

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7
8
9

10 CARDS AGAINST HUMANITY, LLC,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 LOFTEK TECHNOLOGICAL CO., LLC, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15

Case No.: 13-CV-0727 YGR

ORDER:

- 1) DENYING REQUEST FOR FURTHER BRIEFING;
2) SEALING DOCUMENTS;
3) REFERRING DISCLOSURE MATTER TO ADR MAGISTRATE JUDGE; AND
4) REFERRING DISCOVERY DISPUTE TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16 Now before the Court is a letter brief concerning a discovery dispute, filed March 12, 2014.
17 (Dkt. No. 90 ("March 12 Letter Brief").) The March 12 Letter Brief gives rise to two matters that
18 require judicial intervention. The first matter is the merits of the parties' discovery dispute. The
19 second is Plaintiff's potential violation of ADR Local Rule 6-12, which prohibits, among other
20 things, disclosure of "anything that happened or was said . . . to the assigned judge" or "for any
21 purpose, including impeachment, in any pending or future proceeding in this court." ADR L.R. 6-
22 12(a). With respect to the latter issue, the undersigned Judge has been vigilant to ensure that she
23 has been exposed only to the procedural aspect of the parties' dispute, not to the apparently
24 confidential information.

25 To speed the resolution of these matters in light of the parties' April 8, 2014 deadline to file
26 dispositive motions (*see* Dkt. No. 80 at 2), the Court issues the following four Orders:

27 1. Plaintiff's request of March 20, 2014, seeking leave to file a further responsive letter (Dkt.
28 No. 96), is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

2. The Clerk of the Court shall **SEAL** the following docket entries, which contain arguably confidential information disclosed at mediation: Dkt. Nos. 90, 92, 93, and 95.


3. The matter of Plaintiff's possible violation of ADR Local Rule 6-12 is **REFERRED** to Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James pursuant to her role as ADR Magistrate Judge. *Cf.* ADR L.R. 2-4(c). Whether and when to unseal the docket entries sealed pursuant to this Order shall be left to the discretion of Magistrate Judge James.

4. The merits of the discovery dispute raised in the March 12 Letter Brief are **REFERRED** for random assignment to a Magistrate Judge.

This Order seals Dkt. Nos. 90, 92, 93, and 95.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 25, 2014


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

cc: MagRef Email; Magistrate Judge James